App. F. IX-4

1932.

File No. 159-32

Previous References (Law)

Serial Nos. 1-37

Later References

pers

22

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. June

Part B for Sept 33

SUBJECT.

Withdrawal of Civil case nos 189/32 & 143/30-31 on the file of the Special I Class Magistrate's Court, Hassan

---

Dr. Abdul Aziz
7.10.33

Petition re withdrawal of C. case No. 189/28-29 on the file of the Special Magte Hassan

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

Serial No.

Petition dated 3.5.33 from Mr. Padmanabiah & Mrs praying that the connected records may be called for and necessary enquiry may be made in C. case no 189/28-29 on the file of the Special Magte, Hassan, and that its withdrawal may be ordered.

2) Submitted for orders with reference to the orders of the 1st Member on the petition.

3) If approved the petition may be forwarded to the Dist Magte, Hassan for a report together with the connected records of the case.

5/5/33

3) The case is said to be for hearing on the 8th instant. If approved the Dt Magte will be requested to get the case adjourned to a future date pending further orders on this petition.

Gen Secy) BMP 5/5

Noted

4) As instructed, a draft calling for a report is herewith submitted for orders

Gen Secy) BMP 5/5

I Member 5/5

BMP 8/5

5) Ke 9/5/33

Ltm 9/5 6) Issue MP.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 8 MAY 1933 No. 9296

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat .

To The Dist Magte, Hassan Dist
Letter no P 5905 dated 11/12.5.33 forwarding a copy of petition for report.

Hrs A. 15/5/33.

From,

The D.C. Hassan

no. 163/32 dated 1st June 1933 submitting a report on the petition preferred by Sri. Brahmasuri Swamy & others and recommending that in the circumstances stated that the Criminal Cases launched against them may be ordered to be withdrawn on certain conditions.

The Cases for the Culmination of a rioting at Sravanabelagola within the Bhandara Basti on the Upakarma day (30-8-32) between the Supporters of the Swami and their opponents Called the Satyagraha party, are briefly narrated in paras 1-7 of the P.W.D.

After the installation of the present Swami of the Sravanabelagola mutt, it is reported that there existed 2 factions among the disciples of the Mutt, one Consisting of the Supporters of the present Swami, and the other the opponents Called the Satyagraha party headed by Brahmasuri Swamy and another. Especially after the dismissal of the Civil Suit filed against first by the feelings between the 2 parties ran high and ended in a rioting. As a result of which Brahmasuri Swamy and 15 others are said to

Serial No.

have been prosecuted in C.C. 189/28-9 on the file of the S/Ps V Class Magt. Hanwella. ~~Magt.~~ ~~Hanwella~~ Subsequently another Case (C.C. 1167/30) is said to have been launched by the Police against several opponents of the Mutt on the pretext of forcible entry into the Mutt.

The D.C. in view of the reasons explained in para 8-9 of the S.U.D recommends the withdrawal of the two Cases mentioned above on Condition of the withdrawal of the Regular appeal now pending before the H.C.

It is pointed out that such a withdrawal will tend to promote good will among the two parties, and tranquilise the Situation. It is not known at what stage the C.C. 189/29 is at present. The present Swami of the Mutt also recommends the withdrawal of the Cases ~~in anticipation~~ In view of the circumstances explained by the D.C. it seems expedient to accept his recommendation and the Cases withdrawn with the Conditions already imposed.

Submd for orders

KD 8/6

On account of certain disturbances which took place in August 1928 at Sravanabelgola during the installation of the present Swami to the Peetha of the Jain Mutt at the place, the Police placed a charge sheet against Mr. Bhatuna Suri Sastri & others ~~accused~~

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

4

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

in C.C. 189 of 28.29 in the Special First Class Magistrate's Court at Hassan.

The accused in this case filed a Counter case against the supporters of the Mutt in C.C. 149 of 28.29.

A charge under Secs 147 and 323 I.P.C was framed against the accused in CC 189 and there was an order of discharge passed in CC 149. Revision petitions were filed against both the orders and while the High Court declined to interfere with the charges framed in CC 189, the revision Case with respect to CC 149 is still pending in the High Court: C.C. 189 is consequently posted for trial in the Magistrate's Court.

While these cases were under enquiry, the Satyagraha party, as the party of the accused in CC 189 is called, is said to have forcibly entered the Mutt premises on 13.10.30 and on account of this another Case C.C. 148 of 30.31 is still under enquiry in the Spl I class at Hassan.

The accused in C.C. 189 petitioned to Govt for withdrawal of the case against them and on this the Deputy Com^r Hassan was asked to report. In his letter of 1st June (P.U.D.) the

Serial No.

D.C after making enquiries says that it serves no useful purpose to proceed further with these Criminal cases and recommends the withdrawal of both C.C 189 of 28.29 and CC 148 of 30.31 on condition that the other parties concerned withdraw the revision petition filed in the High Court in respect of C.C 149 of 28.29. The D.C hopes that this course may materially help to bring about better feelings between the parties who also appear to be eager to bury their differences and resume normal conditions.

In the petition presented to Govt there is however no mention of CC 148 of 30.31 & no prayer for its withdrawal. In the circumstances represented by the D.C, it is however desirable to withdraw this case also, so that ~~the cases~~ all the litigation ~~both~~ connected with this affair may be closed once for all.

C.C. 189 of 28.29 is said to be posted to the last week in June and the Deputy Com therefore requests early orders in the matter.

(Gen Secy)

BSP
9.6.33

The recommendation of the Dy Comm may be approved.

I Member

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Japan. If note may be entered to withdraw cases subject to the conditions mentioned by him being fulfilled

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 10 JUNE 1933 No. 1065

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 10 JUNE 1933

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

6

Govt File No. 159 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Dft to D Hqrs.
RM
14/6

Dft Sub: for approval
ISD
14/6

Issue
RM
14/6/33

To,

The D.C. & D.M. Hasan

No. P. 6264 dated 13th June 1933 accepting the recommendation of the D.C. to withdraw the 2 Cases.

Secy
14/6/33



S 771
Petitions
for Venkatramaiya

Sentences with
complete ±
(Perhaps you
know the details
to use)

Law 159-32-1

In the Court of
Before His Highness The Mahārāja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Mis. P. No. of 32-33.

Petitioners.
1. Brahmasura Sastry
2. Jayakumariah.
3. K.P.Dharanappa.
4. C. Bramhadeviah.
5. B.M.Padmiah.
6. K. Puttiah.
7. C.C.Bharamiah.
8. C.C.Nagappa.
9. N.N.Parsvanathiah.
10. G.D.Padmiah.
10. Bramhasura Sastry.
11. A.P.Nagendriah.
12. G.S.Baramiah.
13. G.D.Anantharajiah.
14. K. A.Bharamiah.

beg to
The petitioners abovenamed, state as follows:-

1. The petitioners have been arraigned as accused in C. C. No. 189 of 28-29 on the file of the Special 1st Class Magistrate, Hassan for being members of an alleged unlawful assembly who entered the Sravanbelgola Jain Mutt with a view to cause hurt etc.

2. The incident relates back to the time of the installation of the present Swami. Excepting a minority in the Sravanbelgola Town the majority of the Jains have not been owning allegiance to the Swami. The friction between the minority and majority party seems to have increased till on the Sravani day of the year 1928 both parties appeared to have come to a conflict over the special pooja on the Sravani day for putting on the sacred thread after the Swami came and left the Bandara-Basthi a Muzrai institution doing the pooja and

and giving the sacred thread to persons who received it at his hands. The defence case is the prosecution case in C. C. No. 149 of 28-29 on the file of the same Magistrate now pending in Revision in Cr.R.P No. 293 of 31-32 on the file of the High Court of Mysore, Bangalore. The defence allege that the Mutt party chagined at the schismists obtaining an order for special pooja over the head of the Swami from the Kuzrai Authorities brutally attacked with knife one Bramhasura Sastri, Jinarajiah and Jinadattiah the 1st three petitioners herein, the first among whom is a local Jain Pundit whose name had been suggested for the "GURUPEETAH" or the Mutt Gadi and that to escape liability they got a false case filed against the influential residents of the village who had no art or part in that day's affair. The prosecution case is contrary to this version and they alleege that the anti-mutt party including the petitioners --- assaulted them for not doing the puja inspite of the orders of the Amildar and obstructed them from proceeding to the Amildar for a revision of the order.

3. That the prosecution witnesses and the accused are very close relatives. In some instances father is ranged against the son and brother against another brother. The whole of the Jain Population in Sravanbelgola is practically dragged in one or the other of the cases. The aforesaid persons have been seriously injured and some of the injuries should show

should have proved easily fatal as the surgeon of the hospital has himself deposed.

4. Mr. Abdul Wajid who was the District Magistrate at the time managed the affair very factfully and did not allow any undue exhibition of feelings and it was due chiefly to his efforts that the Head of the mutt was not dragged into the cases. He was wholeheartedly for an honourable -- compromise between the parties in the interests of amity, goodwill and fellow-ship.

5. Unfortunately during his time as feelings were ~~running~~ running very high, there was no re-approachment between the parties.

6. The succeeding District Magistrate particularly Mr. T. G. Ramier interested themselves in this mission of peace.

7. A joint petition was presented by the ~~pp~~ petitioners who were the accused and most of the prosecution witnesses to the District Magistrate Mr. T.G.Ramier ~~for ordering~~ for ordering a withdrawal of the case as it was a Police Case. The Petitioners were also asked to withdraw their private case pending in the High court which they agreed. This was on 24-1-33.

8. Thereupon the learned Magistrate was pleased to entertain the petition, call for the records of the case and promised to pass prders after ascertaining the opinion of the District Suprintendant of Police.

9. The petitioners are given to understand that the Superintendent of Police after elaborate local enquiry, recently reported that he had no objection for the withdrawal of the cases, if the parties were inclined to compromise their differences.

10. Unfortunately at the time the report was received the permanent District Magistrate Mr.H.V.Ramaswamy

had left the gentleman who was in-charge of the current duties of the office forwarded the case - records back to the trial Magistrate without passing any orders on their petition.

11. The result is that after an year of lull and approaching prospects of a final and lasting -- peace, the trial of the case is fixed to proceed -- before the Magistrate, Hassen from the 8th instant, day to day.

12. The petitioners respectfully submit that no useful purpose would be served after a long interval of 5 years to allow the case to proceed. On the other hand it will lead to straining of happy relations and serious embitterment of feelings.

13. As the incident is one which has convulsed the Jain population of the town and as any steps taken to promote feelings of fellowship among them will mean lasting good to the community itself, it is prayed that the Benign Government of His Highness who are always anxious to encourage the development of peace and prosperity amongst the subject, may kindly intercede in the matter, call for the records of the whole case including the records before the Magistrate and after such enquiry asmay be necessary from the Officers past and present who know about this incident pass orders for the withdrawal of the case -- against the petitioners.

For which act of kindness the petitioners in duly bound would ever feel grateful,

Beg to subscribe hereunto

K. Venkataramiah
Pet

G. D. Padmaraiah
Pet

Bangalore,
3rd May 1933.



Before the ಹಾಸನದ Kharaya ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ
Hsne.

193 —193 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ Pltf. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

G. D. Madhaiah
K. P. Dharmappa
ವರ್ಸಸ್

ಹಾಸನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್—ಮಿ॥ರಾ॥ಅ॥ಗೋ॥ರಾಮ್ಯಜ್ಞಪ್ರಸಾದ್—ಯುವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತುನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರು ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕುಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಸಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸಾಗುವ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ಪು ಸಹ ಸಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಜಾಬತು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ದಸ್ತ್ಯೆವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಜಾರಿ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ತಮಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತತೋರಿದಂತೆ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆ ರಾಜಿಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಪಥವಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದುಯಿದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

G. D. Madhaiah.
K. P. Dharmappa
ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ಧರ್ಮಪ್ಪ
ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ
C. C. Nagappa
ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

K. Venkatarangiah
Advocate

ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥನಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ
ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಹನುಮಾಮ್ಮಿಲಾಸ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಹಾಸನ.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section .....

File No. 159-32

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 19.12.32

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

No CP 5905/1 Encl 1 copy of petn

To The District Magistrate

Hassan.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of the petition presented by Mr Brahmasuri Sastri & others praying for the withdrawal of C.C. No 189 of 28-29 on the file of the Special 1st class magistrate Hassan which is said to be now posted for hearing to 8th instant and to request that a report in the matter may be sent to Govt at an early date.

I have &c

for Secy

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P. 5905 Ene 1

Dated, Bangalore, 11th May 1933.

- 12 -

From

To

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysor*

The District Magistrate,

Hassan.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of the petition presented by Mr. Brahmaouri Sastri and others praying for the withdrawal of C.C.No.189 of 28-29 on the file of the Special 1st Class Magistrate, Hassan which is said to be now posted for hearing to 6th instant and to request that a report in the matter may be sent to Government at an early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

BNL.2.

CONFIDENTIAL.

6315 238
P 838

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Dated, Hassan, the 1st June 1933.

159-23

From

M. Chennaraj Urs, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

H.V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter Number P.5905 dated 12th May 1933 forwarding copy of a petition presented by Mr. Brahmasuri Sastry and others concerned in C.C.No.189 of 28-29, pending before the Special First Class Magistrate, Hassan and calling for a report with my opinion for its withdrawal and to state as follows:-

In submitting my report on the subject, I shall first deal briefly with the history of the incidents which culminated in a number of civil and criminal cases between the opposing factions among the Jaina community of Sravanabelagola and Hassan and then give my opinion regarding the withdrawal of the same.

These incidents happened consequent on the installation of the present Swami of the Sri Sravanabelagola Mutt in the year 1928. Feelings ran high between the two sections of the Jaina community at Sravanabelagola and the two factions among the Sishyas of the said Mutt at Hassan. The party opposed to the present Swami among the Sishyas at Hassan prosecuted a Civil suit against Government and the present Swami as joint defendants which after a protracted trial in the Mysore District Judge's Court, ended in a decision against the plaintiffs. It is now pending in appeal in the High Court at

Bangalore.

4) But the faction at Sravanabelagola took a more serious step and culminated in rioting within the Bhandar Basti on the Upakarma day (30-8-28) between the supporters of the Swami and their opponents. The latter known as the Satyagraha Party are headed by Mr. Brahmasuri Sastri and Mr. G.D. Padmiah alias Puttappaji. The police placed a charge sheet against Mr. Brahmasuri Sastry and 15 others under Sections 157 and 323 Indian Penal Code, after investigation. The accused in this case viz., C.C. No.189 of 28-29 in the Special First Class Magistrate's Court have been charged by the Magistrate for offences under Sections 147 and 323 Indian Penal Code. Against the framing of this charge, the accused went in revision before the High Court who however refused to interfere at that stage. The case is therefore for trial before the First Class Magistrat at Hassan.

5) The Satyagraha party who had non-cooperated with the Police investigation in the counter case against the supporters of the Mutt, filed, however, a private complaint which was decided by the First Class Magistrate against them. After a protracted enquiry this case was discharged on 16th September 1931 while, as noted above, charges were framed against the opponents on the same day under Sections 147 and 323 Indian Penal Code. The opponents of the Mutt took the discharge order in Revision before the District and Sessions Judge at Mysore but without any success. Thereafter the case was taken to the High Court where it is now pending.

6) While these cases were under enquiry in the Courts concerned the Satyagraha Party are alleged to have forcibly entered the Mutt

gardens at Sravanabelagola on 13—10-1930 on the pretext that the Mutt servants insulted a lady belonging to their party and the case was accordingly investigated by the Police and a charge sheet against Pommiah, his wife and 12 others was placed in the Special I Class Court under Sections 148 and 324 Indian Penal Code. Six -- Prosecution witnesses have been examined in this case C.C. No.148 of 30-31. The case is still under inquiry.

7) This, briefly, is the history of the cases and counter cases criminal and civil relating to the incidents which occured after the installation of the present Swami.

8) The protracted conduct of these cases in the criminal courts seems to have had a salutary effedt in cooling the factious ardour of both the parties at Sravanebelagola. Both the factions seem latterly to have been keen in making up their differences. Opportunity was therefore taken by my predecessor Mr. T.G. Rama Iyer on the motion of Mr. Brahmasuri Sastri and others to suggest suitable terms for a rapproachment in the interest of peace and tranquillity at Sravanabelagola. But Mr. Rama Iyer was transferred before he could bring matters to a conclusion and Mr. H.V. Ramasvami took charge. Owing to the absence of the Swami in South Canara, the progress of peace pourparlers was not as expeditious as would otherwise have been the case. Mr. Ramasvami was thereafter transferred. The Swami however returned to Sravanabelagola in April 1933, and negotiations for peace seem to have progressed satisfactorily. During this period, the District Superintendent of Police, Mr. Siddaraj Urs who had been asked by Mr. H.V. Ramasvami to investigate the conditions on the subject helped materially in settling the points at issue and clarifying the details. On 7-5-33 I went to Sravanabelagola with the District Superintendent of Police Mr. Siddaraj Urs, and the Sub

Sub Division Officer Mr. George Matthan and saw the members of both the ~~parties.~~ factions. I also interviewed the Swamiji of the Sri Sravana-belagola Mutt and discussed the situation and local conditions with him. He showed an inclination to agree with the requisite conditions for Government to show amnesty to his opponents and thereafter wrote me a letter on the subject imparting his views in the matter. This letter is enclosed in the file and is numbered 64 and dated 14-5-1933.

9) In my opinion, there is very little to be gained in pressing these cases to a conclusion. Whatever may be result of these cases, such a course may tend perhaps to further accentuate their differences and widen the gulf between the two parties at Sravanabelagola. The embittered state of feelings between the two parties has had and is having an adverse effect on the trade and affairs of that village. Even its Municipal administration is not free from the effect of this factious spirit. The withdrawal of these cases may perhaps help materially to tranquilise the situation and soothen the wounded feelings of both sides. The parties have also been financially exhausted by the protracted proceedings in various courts and seem to be eager for a return of normal conditions. After all, the cases are not the result of any inherent criminal tendencies in the opposing factions but of a misplaced enthusiasm for abstract principles concerning the appointment of a Pithadhikari. I am therefore of opinion that it is expedient at this stage to withdraw the cases Numbers 189 of 28-29 and 143 of 30-31 launched by the Police against the Satyagraha party on condition that the latter will withdraw their case against the Mutt party now pending before the High Court. From their recent behaviour I have some reason to think that they will be loyal to the terms of the statement given by them before me on 7th May 1933.

10) I therefore recommend that, in public interests, these cases may be permitted to be withdrawn on the conditions mentioned by me above. They have been adjourned to the fourth week of June and I request the favour of early orders.

The records as per pherist accompany this letter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Hemaraj 16/33

Deputy Commissioner,
Hasaan District.

163
16/33.

as.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 13th June 1933.

No. P 6364 Law 159-32-4

From (Records)

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate,
Hassan.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Petn. 163 of 1932 dated 1st June 1933 forwarding a report re the petition presented by Mr. Brahasuri Sastry and others accused in C.C. 189 of 1928-29 on the file of the Special First Class Magistrate, Hassan, I am directed to state that in the circumstances pointed out in your letter, Government accept your recommendation to withdraw the marginally noted cases on the file of the Special First Class Magistrate, Hassan, subject to the conditions mentioned by you being fulfilled. Necessary further action in the matter may kindly be taken by you and a final report sent to Government for information.

C.C. 189 of 1928-29
C.C. 148 of 1930-31

The entire file of papers received is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

C.R.G.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 159-32

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P6364. (Records)

D.C.

To The District Magistrate

Hassan.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 13.6.33

With reference to your letter no. Pet. 163/32 dated 1st June '33 forwarding a report re: the petition presented by Mr. Brahmasiri Sastry and others, accused in C.C. 159/29 on the file of the Special first class Magistrate, Hassan, I am directed to state that in the circumstances pointed out in your letter I accept your recommendation to withdraw the marginally noted ~~case~~ Cases on the file of the Special first class Magistrate, Hassan ~~may be withdrawn~~ recommended by you subject to the conditions on condition that the ~~case~~ revision

Signed by Mr N. R. J.

C.C. 159/29
~~C.C. 148/30-31~~
C.C. 148/30-31

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 12/6

Examined by.....

15

Subject to the conditions mentioned by You ~~regard to grant of the said petition~~ being fulfilled. Necessary further action ~~Action taken~~ in the matter taken by you and a final report sent may kindly be ~~returned for information~~ of Govt for information —

The entire file of papers received are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient servant

ISD 17/6.

17/6 Secy

35 P 833/16

Petn. 163/32

Office of the District Magistrate
Hassan District.
Dated. Hassan the 30th August 1933.

From

M. Chennaraj Urs, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

District Magistrate, Hassan District.

HASSAN.

Law 159-32-5

To

H.V. Ramaswami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

General Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
2- SEP 1933
No.

Subject:- Withdrawal of Criminal Case Nos. 189/28-29 and 143/30-31 on the file of the special 1st Class Magistrate's Court Hassan.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P. 6364/Law 159-32-4 dated the 13th June 1933 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that both these cases were withdrawn on 20-7-33. The Revision Petition filed by the Satyagraha party before the High Court against the order of discharge passed by the special 1st. class Magistrate, Hassan in C.C. No. 149/28-29 was also witndrawn.

Secret
R EP. 1933
No. 1.2.2.14

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Chennaraj Urs 31/8/33
District Magistrate
Hassan District.
31/8/33.

Sd/- for perusal
ISD 5/9

2nd Mamlatdar)

5/9

9/9/33